

# Contents

Preface . . . . .	V
List of Abbreviations . . . . .	XVII
Bibliography . . . . .	XXIII
<b>Part 1: Swiss Approach to Domestic cases of Tax Avoidance . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction . . . . .	1
Chapter 1: Concept of Tax Avoidance . . . . .	2
I. Introduction . . . . .	2
II. Various scholarly definitions . . . . .	3
1. In General . . . . .	3
2. BLUMENSTEIN: tax avoidance involves the choice of an unusual legal form adopted in order to minimize the tax burden which has the effect of resulting in a significant reduction of tax . . . . .	3
3. HEGGLIN: tax avoidance as an act contrary to both the principle of equal treatment before the law and the principle of good faith . . . . .	5
4. WACKERNAGEL: tax avoidance as the realization of an advantage contrary to the ratio legis of a particular tax law provision . . . . .	5
5. LÄUBLI and MEILI: tax avoidance as a special case of the circumvention of the law ( <i>in fraudem legis agere</i> ) . . . . .	6
6. HÖHN and WALDBURGER: tax avoidance as the act of taking advantage of improper (unintended) loopholes in the tax legislation . . . . .	7
7. TORRIONE: tax avoidance as a judicial construction aiming at frustrating a case of abuse of rights . . . . .	9
8. Critical Evaluation . . . . .	10
III. Definition used by the Swiss Federal Court . . . . .	14
1. Introduction . . . . .	14
2. Historical and comparative overview . . . . .	14
2.1. Leading case of 1933 . . . . .	14
2.2. Development of the objective and subjective conditions and omission of the effective condition . . . . .	15
2.3. Constant Jurisprudence: the three conditions necessary in cases of tax avoidance . . . . .	17
3. Individual analysis of the three conditions applied by the Swiss Federal Court . . . . .	19
3.1. Burden of proof . . . . .	19
3.2. Objective condition . . . . .	20
3.2.1. In General . . . . .	20

3.2.2.	First element: an «unusual, inappropriate or odd» legal form . . . . .	22
3.2.2.1.	Definition . . . . .	22
3.2.2.2.	Academic criticism levelled at the requirement of «unusualness» . . . . .	24
3.2.2.3.	Concluding remarks . . . . .	24
3.2.3.	Second element . . . . .	26
3.2.3.1.	Blumenstein’s approach . . . . .	26
3.2.3.2.	Compatibility of Blumenstein’s approach with the constant jurisprudence of the Federal Court . . . . .	26
3.2.4.	Concluding remarks . . . . .	30
3.3.	Subjective condition . . . . .	32
3.3.1.	Introduction . . . . .	32
3.3.2.	First element: Intention to avoid or reduce taxes (the Subjective Element) . . . . .	32
3.3.2.1.	Academic debate on the necessity of the Subjective Element . . . . .	32
3.3.2.2.	Subjective Element in the case law of the Federal Court . . . . .	36
3.3.2.3.	Concluding remarks: towards an objectivization of the «Subjective» Element . . . . .	43
3.3.3.	Second element: The abusive choice . . . . .	45
3.3.3.1.	Nature of the second element . . . . .	45
3.3.3.2.	Concluding remarks . . . . .	45
3.4.	Effective condition . . . . .	46
3.4.1.	Nature of the effective condition . . . . .	46
3.4.2.	Impact of economic disadvantages sustained by the taxpayer on the achievement of the effective condition . . . . .	48
3.4.3.	Concluding remarks . . . . .	48
4.	Conclusion . . . . .	49
Chapter 2: Delimitations . . . . .		51
I.	Introduction . . . . .	51
II.	Tax mitigation . . . . .	51
1.	Difficulty of establishing the borderline between tax mitigation and tax avoidance . . . . .	51
2.	Characteristics of tax mitigation . . . . .	52
3.	Borderline as established by scholars . . . . .	54
4.	Borderline as established by the Federal Court . . . . .	56
5.	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	57
III.	Tax offenses . . . . .	58
1.	Introduction . . . . .	58

2.	Terminology . . . . .	59
3.	Tax evasion . . . . .	60
3.1.	Direct taxation . . . . .	60
3.1.1.	Definition and legal requirements . . . . .	60
3.1.2.	Penalty . . . . .	63
3.2.	Indirect taxation . . . . .	64
3.2.1.	Generalities . . . . .	64
3.2.2.	Tax evasion under the Federal Act on Withholding Tax . . . . .	65
3.2.3.	Tax evasion under the Federal Act on Stamp Tax . . . . .	66
3.2.4.	Tax evasion under the Federal Act on Value Added Tax . . . . .	66
3.3.	Distinction between tax evasion and tax avoidance . . . . .	67
4.	Tax fraud . . . . .	71
4.1.	Direct taxation . . . . .	71
4.1.1.	Definition and legal requirements . . . . .	71
4.1.2.	Penalty . . . . .	72
4.2.	Indirect taxation . . . . .	72
4.2.1.	Definition and legal requirements . . . . .	72
4.2.2.	Penalty . . . . .	75
4.3.	Distinction between tax fraud and tax evasion . . . . .	75
4.4.	Distinction between tax fraud and tax avoidance . . . . .	76
IV.	Sham . . . . .	77
1.	Concept . . . . .	77
1.1.	Definition . . . . .	77
1.2.	Legal consequence . . . . .	78
2.	Distinction between tax avoidance and sham . . . . .	79
2.1.	Borderline case . . . . .	79
2.2.	Criteria of distinction . . . . .	80
V.	Conclusion . . . . .	83
Chapter 3: Importance of civil law concepts or application of the economic viewpoint: area of conflict in cases of tax avoidance . . . . .		86
I.	Introduction . . . . .	86
II.	Importance of civil law concepts in the application of Swiss tax law . . . . .	87
1.	Introduction . . . . .	87
2.	Blumenstein's study . . . . .	88
2.1.	Differences between civil law and tax law . . . . .	88
2.2.	Points of contact between civil law and tax law . . . . .	90
2.2.1.	Impact of civil law on the tax legislation . . . . .	91
2.2.2.	Impact of civil law on the application and interpretation of tax law . . . . .	93
2.2.2.1.	Principle of the binding force of civil law concepts vs Principle of autonomy of tax law . . . . .	94

2.2.2.2.	Principle of the binding force of civil law concepts if intended by the tax legislator . . .	95
2.2.3.	Appreciation of Blumenstein’s study . . . . .	96
3.	Position of other commentators and the Federal Court . . . . .	98
3.1.	Position of other commentators . . . . .	98
3.2.	Position of the Federal Court . . . . .	99
III.	Application of the so-called economic viewpoint ( <i>Wirtschaftliche Betrachtungsweise; approche selon la réalité économique</i> ) . . . . .	100
1.	Introduction . . . . .	100
2.	Definition . . . . .	100
3.	Scope of application . . . . .	101
3.1.	Diverging academic opinions . . . . .	101
3.2.	Early jurisprudence of the Swiss Federal Court . . . . .	103
3.3.	Key decision of the Swiss Federal Court . . . . .	105
3.3.1.	Distinction between two categories of tax law provisions . . . . .	105
3.3.2.	Consequences of the existence of two categories of tax law provisions . . . . .	108
3.3.2.1.	Two distinct cases of application of the economic viewpoint . . . . .	108
3.3.2.2.	Scope of application of tax avoidance . . . . .	111
3.3.2.3.	Practical illustration of the two distinct cases of application of the economic viewpoint: the fiscal treatment of hidden equity capital . . . . .	113
3.3.2.4.	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	114
IV.	Conclusion . . . . .	115
Chapter 4: Rule of law ( <i>Legalitätsprinzip; principe de la légalité</i> ) and tax avoidance . . . . .		
		117
I.	Introduction . . . . .	117
II.	Rule of law . . . . .	118
1.	Rule of law in general administrative law . . . . .	118
1.1.	Concept . . . . .	118
1.2.	Aspects . . . . .	119
2.	Importance of the rule of law in tax matters . . . . .	121
III.	Combating tax avoidance, the legislator’s task? . . . . .	123
1.	Introduction . . . . .	123
2.	Complementary anti-avoidance clauses . . . . .	123
2.1.	Definition . . . . .	123
2.2.	Examples of cases of application . . . . .	124
2.2.1.	Federal Legislation – Sale of a shell company: Art. 5(2)(b) STA . . . . .	124

---

2.2.2.	Cantonal Legislation . . . . .	124
2.3.	Evaluation . . . . .	127
3.	General anti-avoidance clauses . . . . .	128
3.1.	Definition and types . . . . .	128
3.2.	Examples of cases of application . . . . .	129
3.2.1.	Federal legislation – Art. 21(2) WTA . . . . .	129
3.2.1.1.	In general . . . . .	129
3.2.1.2.	Conditions of application . . . . .	130
3.2.1.3.	Distinction between the «right to use» (Art. 21(1)(a) WTA) and the reservation of tax avoidance (Art. 21(2) WTA) . . . . .	130
3.2.1.4.	International charism of Art. 21(2) WTA with respect to double taxation conventions . . . . .	132
3.2.2.	Federal legislation – Art. 29a THA . . . . .	134
3.2.3.	Cantonal legislation . . . . .	135
3.3.	Evaluation . . . . .	141
4.	Conclusion . . . . .	142
IV.	Relativization of the rule of law in cases of tax avoidance . . . . .	142
1.	In General . . . . .	142
2.	General Principle as judicial (instead of legal) Basis and Legitimization of Taxation in cases of Tax Avoidance . . . . .	145
2.1.	In General . . . . .	145
2.2.	Principle of good faith and the prohibition of abuse of rights . . . . .	145
2.2.1.	In General . . . . .	145
2.2.2.	Roots of both good faith and the prohibition of abuse of rights in the Swiss Civil Code . . . . .	146
2.2.2.1.	Definition and function . . . . .	146
2.2.2.2.	Relation between good faith and the prohibition of abuse of rights . . . . .	149
2.2.2.3.	Requirement of a manifest abuse of rights . . . . .	150
2.2.2.4.	General clause of Art. 2(2) CC and the legal consequence of cases of abuse of rights . . . . .	151
2.2.3.	Question of the application of the principle of good faith and prohibition of abuse of rights in the domain of public law . . . . .	153
2.2.4.	Good faith under modern public law . . . . .	155
2.2.4.1.	Introduction . . . . .	155
2.2.4.2.	Concept and aspects . . . . .	156
2.2.4.3.	Scope of application . . . . .	161
2.2.4.4.	Conclusion . . . . .	161
2.2.5.	Rule of law vs. Principle of good faith and prohibition of abuse of rights . . . . .	162

2.2.6.	Tax avoidance as a case of application of the prohibition of abuse of rights . . . . .	163
2.2.6.1.	Introduction . . . . .	163
2.2.6.2.	Viewpoint of textwriters . . . . .	164
2.2.6.3.	Federal Court's (recent) jurisprudence on tax avoidance . . . . .	167
2.2.6.4.	Characteristics of abuse of rights applied to cases of tax avoidance . . . . .	170
2.2.6.5.	Conclusion: Tax avoidance as an abuse of the freedom to choose any civil law form in tax matters . . . . .	170
2.3.	Prohibition of arbitrariness . . . . .	172
2.3.1.	Concept . . . . .	172
2.3.2.	Scope of application and target group . . . . .	173
2.3.3.	Conclusion: Prohibition of arbitrariness does not constitute a judicial basis in cases of tax avoidance . .	174
3.	Conclusion . . . . .	175
Chapter 5:	Legal consequence of tax avoidance . . . . .	177
I.	Introduction . . . . .	177
II.	Legal consequences as described by the Federal Court . . . . .	178
III.	Impact of the economic viewpoint on the legal consequence of tax avoidance . . . . .	179
IV.	Doctrinal approaches to the legal consequence of tax avoidance . . . . .	180
1.	Manipulation or fiction of the factual circumstances ( <i>Sachverhaltsfiktion; manipulation des faits</i> )? . . . . .	180
1.1.	Approach . . . . .	180
1.2.	Evaluation . . . . .	181
2.	Legal consequence in accordance with the finding that tax avoidance constitutes a case of abuse of rights . . . . .	182
2.1.	Recapitulation . . . . .	182
2.2.	Application . . . . .	182
V.	Conclusion . . . . .	186
Chapter 6:	Intermediary Conclusions: The judicial concept of tax avoidance in Swiss domestic law . . . . .	187
<b>Part 2:</b>	<b>Swiss Approach to Tax Avoidance under Double Taxation Conventions in the Absence of Anti-Abuse Provisions . . . . .</b>	<b>195</b>
Chapter 1:	The Denmark-case . . . . .	195
I.	Introduction . . . . .	195
II.	Facts . . . . .	196
1.	In General . . . . .	196
2.	Particulars of the Swiss-Denmark double taxation convention . . . . .	197

---

2.1. Exclusive attribution of taxing rights for dividend payments to the Resident State of the beneficiary . . . . .	197
2.2. Absence of anti-avoidance provision and beneficial ownership requirement . . . . .	198
III. Decision of the Federal Court: An unwritten anti-abuse principle inherent in double taxation conventions . . . . .	199
1. Reasoning of the Federal Court in the Denmark-case . . . . .	199
1.1. Existence of an unwritten anti-abuse principle inherent in double taxation conventions . . . . .	199
1.2. Abuse of convention possible despite fulfillment of the beneficial ownership . . . . .	201
2. Extensive scholarly criticism at the Federal Court's decision . . . . .	202
3. Indirect international effect of the Swiss domestic concept of tax avoidance on the interpretation of DTCs which are lacking anti-avoidance provisions . . . . .	204
IV. Confirmation of the Denmark-case by the Swiss Federal Court and the Swiss Federal Administrative Court . . . . .	205
1. Decision of the Swiss Federal Court with respect to the DTC with France . . . . .	205
2. Decision of the Swiss Federal Administrative Court with respect to the DTC with Denmark . . . . .	206
V. Conclusion . . . . .	208
Chapter 2: Application of the Prohibition of Abuse of Rights to Double Taxation Conventions . . . . .	208
I. Introduction . . . . .	208
II. Unwritten reservation of abuse of rights revealed through interpretation of double taxation conventions . . . . .	209
1. Nature of double taxation conventions . . . . .	209
2. Application of the interpretive principles laid down in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties to double taxation conventions . . . . .	210
3. Interpretive principles of the VCLT and their application to double taxation conventions . . . . .	212
3.1. General rule of interpretation . . . . .	212
3.1.1. Introduction . . . . .	212
3.1.2. Text . . . . .	213
3.1.2.1. In General . . . . .	213
3.1.2.2. Ordinary meaning . . . . .	215
3.1.2.3. Special meaning . . . . .	217
3.1.2.4. Conclusion . . . . .	218
3.1.3. Good faith . . . . .	218
3.1.3.1. Concept . . . . .	218

3.1.3.2.	Principle of good faith in the Vienna Convention . . . . .	219
3.1.3.3.	Good faith and abuse of double taxation conventions . . . . .	220
3.1.3.4.	Conclusion . . . . .	222
3.1.4.	Context . . . . .	223
3.1.4.1.	Concept . . . . .	223
3.1.4.2.	Content . . . . .	224
3.1.4.3.	Conclusive Remarks . . . . .	226
3.1.5.	Object and Purpose . . . . .	227
3.1.5.1.	Concept . . . . .	227
3.1.5.2.	Object and purpose of double taxation conventions: promotion of exchanges of goods and services, and the movement of capital and persons and prevention of tax avoidance and evasion . . . . .	228
3.1.5.3.	Conclusion . . . . .	234
3.2.	Supplementary means of interpretation . . . . .	235
3.3.	Conclusion . . . . .	235
4.	OECD Commentary on the Model Convention . . . . .	237
4.1.	Introduction . . . . .	237
4.2.	OECD Commentary on abuse of rights . . . . .	238
4.2.1.	1977 Commentary . . . . .	238
4.2.2.	2003 update to the Commentary . . . . .	238
4.2.2.1.	Purpose of double taxation conventions . . . . .	238
4.2.2.2.	Guiding principle as defined by the 2003 update . . . . .	240
4.3.	Importance of the OECD Commentary . . . . .	242
4.4.	Legal status of the OECD Commentary . . . . .	246
4.4.1.	Introduction . . . . .	246
4.4.2.	OECD MC and its Commentaries: their process of adoption . . . . .	247
4.4.3.	Legal status of Commentaries existing prior to the conclusion of double taxation conventions . . . . .	250
4.4.3.1.	In General . . . . .	250
4.4.3.2.	Commentaries according to the OECD Council Recommendation . . . . .	251
4.4.3.3.	Commentaries within the interpretive principles of the Vienna Convention . . . . .	252
4.4.3.4.	ENGELN: Binding force through acquiescence, estoppel or the protection of legitimate expectations . . . . .	260



---

4.4.4. Legal status of subsequent Commentaries . . . . .	263
4.4.5. Provisions in double taxation conventions that are not based on the OECD MC . . . . .	269
4.5. Conclusion: Binding force of the Commentaries through acquiescence . . . . .	270
III. Existence of a general principle of international law prohibiting abuse of rights . . . . .	271
1. Introduction . . . . .	271
2. Abuse of rights as a general principle of law recognized by civilized nations . . . . .	271
3. Application of the prohibition of abuse of rights under international law . . . . .	284
4. Application of the prohibition of abuse of rights in EU law . . . . .	287
5. Application of the prohibition of abuse of rights in international tax law . . . . .	288
6. Conclusion . . . . .	291
Chapter 3: Conclusion . . . . .	292
I. Unwritten reservation of abuse of rights revealed by interpreting double taxation conventions . . . . .	292
II. Abuse of rights as a general principle of law recognized by civilized nations . . . . .	296
III. Indirect international effect of the Swiss domestic judicial concept of tax avoidance on the interpretation of DTCs which are lacking anti-avoidance provisions . . . . .	297
IV. Developments within the OECD: adoption of a general treaty anti-abuse rule in the OECD MC . . . . .	299